

European XFEL

X-ray FEL pulse characteristics from the 6 GeV driver

European network for developing new horizons for RIs

 $\langle 0 \rangle$

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 871072

Eurizon 2020+ workshop on FEL linac driver and FEL physics applications

> Fabian Pannek European XFEL January 2024

FEL driven by 6 GeV electron beam



FEL

Fabian Pannek





Motivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalish Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

FEL driven by 6 GeV electron beam



FEL

Fabian Pannek





85 % of the earth crust is made up of oxides

- molecules containing oxygen: new dissociation pathways and dynamics
- ferroelectricity, high temperature superconductivity, spin transitions

- 250 eV to 1 keV (5 nm to 1.2 nm):
 - 3d transition metals, oxides
 - organic materials, hybrid structures

Motivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

FEL driven by 6 GeV electron beam



FEL

Fabian Pannek





- 85 % of the earth crust is made up of oxides
- molecules containing oxygen: new dissociation pathways and dynamics
- ferroelectricity, high temperature superconductivity, spin transitions
- battery research

- 250 eV to 1 keV (5 nm to 1.2 nm):
 - 3d transition metals, oxides
 - organic materials, hybrid structures
- down to 50 eV (25 nm):
 - Li and B
 - two photon excitations of C-, N-, O-edges

Motivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraint: Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

Contents



FEL

Fabian Pannek

1 Analytical Study

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

2 Simulations

GENESIS1.3 FEL code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

3 Summary

Motivation

Analytical Study D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Vavelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations BENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Dutlook

1D approximation: Exponential Growth Regime



FEL

Fabian Pannek



gain length describes FEL power growth

Motivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation

Ming Xie Formalisn Technical Contraint Mavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

1D approximation: Exponential Growth Regime



gain length describes FEL power growth



FEL Fabian Pannek

lotivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation

Aing Xie Formalisn Technical Contraint Vavelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

 $\sigma_{x,y}$: rms beam size λ_u : undulator period \widehat{K} : undulator strength $\propto B\lambda_u$

 γ_r : beam energy I_e : current

 $L_{\rm g0} = \frac{\lambda_{\rm u}}{4\pi\sqrt{3}\rho_{\rm FEL}} = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\frac{I_{\rm A}}{I_{\rm e}} \frac{\sigma_{\rm X,y}^2}{\pi} \frac{\lambda_{\rm u}}{K^2} \right]^{1/3}$

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

1D approximation: Exponential Growth Regime



gain length describes FEL power growth

 $1D \rightarrow$ diffraction, energy spread, angular spread?



 $L_{\rm g0} = \frac{\lambda_{\rm u}}{4\pi\sqrt{3}\rho_{\rm FFI}} = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\frac{l_{\rm A}}{l_{\rm e}} \frac{\sigma_{\rm X,y}^2}{\pi} \frac{\lambda_{\rm u}}{\vec{k}^2} \right]^{1/3}$

 γ_r : beam energy I_e : current

 $\sigma_{x,y}$: rms beam size λ_{u} : undulator period

 \widehat{K} : undulator strength $\propto B\lambda_{\rm u}$

FEL Fabian Pannek

otivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation

Ming Xie Formalisr Fechnical Contrain Navelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



FEL

Fabian Pannek

= 1D approach:
$$L_{g0} = \frac{\lambda_u}{4\pi\sqrt{3}\rho_{FEL}} = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\frac{I_A}{I_e} \frac{\sigma_{x,y}^2}{\pi} \frac{\lambda_u}{\tilde{k}^2} \right]^{1/3}$$

Analytical Study

Motivation

Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

1D approach:



FEL

Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

Summary

energy spread:
$$X_{\gamma} = rac{L_{
m g0}4\pi\sigma_{\gamma}}{\lambda_{
m u}\gamma}$$

 $L_{
m g0} = rac{\lambda_{
m U}}{4\pi\sqrt{3}
ho_{
m FEL}} = rac{\gamma}{\sqrt{3}} \left[rac{l_{
m A}}{l_{
m e}} rac{\sigma_{X,Y}^2}{\pi} rac{\lambda_{
m U}}{\hat{K}^2}
ight]^{1/3}$



 $\sigma_{x,v}^2 = \beta_{\rm avg} \varepsilon_{\rm n} / \gamma$

FEL

Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

> Simulations ENESIST.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Dutlook

Summary

■ 1D approach:
$$L_{g0} = \frac{\lambda_u}{4\pi\sqrt{3}\rho_{FEL}} = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\frac{l_A}{l_e} \frac{\sigma_{x,y}^2}{\pi} \frac{\lambda_u}{\hat{K}^2} \right]^{1/3}$$

energy spread:

$$X_{\mathsf{d}} = rac{L_{\mathsf{g0}}}{z_{\mathsf{R}}} = rac{L_{\mathsf{g0}}\lambda_{\mathsf{r}}}{eta_{\mathsf{avg}}4\pi}rac{\gamma}{arepsilon_{\mathsf{n}}}$$

 $X_{\gamma} = rac{L_{
m g0}4\pi\sigma_{\gamma}}{\lambda_{
m u}\gamma}$

λ...



FEL

Fabian Pannek

Motivation

Analytical Study

Ming Xie Formalism

1D approach:



FEL

Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations BENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Dutlook

Summary

energy spread:
$$X_{\gamma} = \frac{L_{g0}4\pi\sigma_{\gamma}}{\lambda_{u}\gamma}$$
diffraction: $X_{d} = \frac{L_{g0}}{z_{R}} = \frac{L_{g0}\lambda_{r}}{\beta_{avg}4\pi}\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon_{n}}$ $\sigma_{x,y}^{2} = \beta_{avg}\varepsilon_{n}/\gamma$ angular spread: $X_{\varepsilon} = \frac{L_{g0}4\pi}{\beta_{avg}\lambda_{r}}\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\gamma}$

 $L_{
m g0} = rac{\lambda_{
m U}}{4\pi\sqrt{3}
ho_{
m FEL}} = rac{\gamma}{\sqrt{3}} \left[rac{l_{
m A}}{l_{
m e}} rac{\sigma_{x,y}^2}{\pi} rac{\lambda_{
m U}}{\widehat{K}^2}
ight]^{1/3}$

3D correction: $L_g = L_{g0} \cdot (1 + \Lambda)$

1D approach:



FEL

Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations RENESIS1.3 code Reamline Lattice S2E Simulations Dutlook

Summary

energy spread: $X_{\gamma} = \frac{L_{g0}4\pi\sigma_{\gamma}}{\lambda_{u}\gamma}$ diffraction: $X_{d} = \frac{L_{g0}}{z_{R}} = \frac{L_{g0}\lambda_{r}}{\beta_{avg}4\pi} \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon_{n}}$ $\sigma_{x,y}^{2} = \beta_{avg}\varepsilon_{n}/\gamma$ angular spread: $X_{\varepsilon} = \frac{L_{g0}4\pi}{\beta_{avg}\lambda_{r}} \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\gamma}$

 $L_{g0} = rac{\lambda_{u}}{4\pi\sqrt{3}
ho_{FFL}} = rac{\gamma}{\sqrt{3}} \left[rac{I_{A}}{I_{e}} rac{\sigma_{x,y}^{2}}{\pi} rac{\lambda_{u}}{\widehat{K}^{2}}
ight]^{1/3}$

■ 3D correction: $L_g = L_{g0} \cdot (1 + \Lambda)$

based on 19 fitting coefficients:

$$\begin{split} &\Lambda = a_1 \, X_d^{a_2} + a_3 \, X_{\varepsilon}^{a_4} + a_5 \, X_{\gamma}^{a_6} \\ &+ a_7 \, X_{\varepsilon}^{a_8} \, X_{\gamma}^{a_9} + a_{10} \, X_d^{a_{11}} \, X_{\gamma}^{a_{12}} + a_{13} \, X_d^{a_{14}} \, X_{\varepsilon}^{a_{15}} \\ &+ a_{16} \, X_d^{a_{17}} \, X_{\varepsilon}^{a_{18}} \, X_{\gamma}^{a_{19}} \end{split}$$

ID approach:



FEL

Fabian Pannek

*I*otivation

Analytical Study

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations RENESIST.3 code Reamline Lattice S2E Simulations Dutlook

Summary

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{energy spread:} & X_{\gamma} = \frac{L_{g0}4\pi\sigma_{\gamma}}{\lambda_{u}\gamma} \\ \text{diffraction:} & X_{d} = \frac{L_{g0}}{z_{R}} = \frac{L_{g0}\lambda_{r}}{\beta_{\text{avg}}4\pi}\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon_{n}} \\ \text{angular spread:} & X_{\varepsilon} = \frac{L_{g0}4\pi}{\beta_{\text{avg}}\lambda_{r}}\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\gamma} \end{array}$$

■ 3D correction: $L_{g} = L_{g0} \cdot (1 + \Lambda)$, $P_{sat} \approx 1.6 \rho_{FEL} P_{beam} / (1 + \Lambda)^{2}$

 $L_{
m g0} = rac{\lambda_{
m U}}{4\pi\sqrt{3}
ho_{
m FEL}} = rac{\gamma}{\sqrt{3}} \left[rac{l_{
m A}}{l_{
m e}} rac{\sigma_{
m X,Y}^2}{\pi} rac{\lambda_{
m U}}{\widehat{K}^2}
ight]^{1/3}$

based on 19 fitting coefficients:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda &= a_1 \, X_d^{a_2} + a_3 \, X_{\varepsilon}^{a_4} + a_5 \, X_{\gamma}^{a_6} \\ &+ a_7 \, X_{\varepsilon}^{a_8} \, X_{\gamma}^{a_9} + a_{10} \, X_d^{a_{11}} \, X_{\gamma}^{a_{12}} + a_{13} \, X_d^{a_{14}} \, X_{\varepsilon}^{a_{15}} \\ &+ a_{16} \, X_d^{a_{17}} \, X_{\varepsilon}^{a_{18}} \, X_{\gamma}^{a_{19}} \end{split}$$

Analytical Study: Electron Beam Parameters

Parameters used for analytical study:

Parameter	Symbol	Value
energy	Е	6 GeV
rms energy spread	σ_E	2 MeV
current	l _e	5 kA
emittance (normalized)	εn	0.3 mm mrad
average beta function	β_{avg}	20 m
rms beam size	$\sigma_{X,Y}$	23 µm

European XFEL European network for developing new horizons for Ris

FEL

Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



FEL

Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations BENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Dutlook

Summary

• radiation wavelength: $\lambda_{\ell} = \frac{\lambda_{U}}{2\gamma^{2}} (1 + \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2})$

 $\blacksquare K \propto \lambda_{u} B$

- maximum magnetic field depends on:
 - size per period length g/λ_u
 - material

$$= B(\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}}) = a \exp\left(b\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}} + c\left[\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}}\right]^{2}\right)$$





FEL

Fabian Pannek

Analytical Study

Technical Contraints

8mm gap

ŝ.

10



■ radiation wavelength: $\lambda_{\ell} = \frac{\lambda_{U}}{2\gamma^{2}} (1 + \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2})$

 $K\propto\lambda_{\rm H}B$

- maximum magnetic field depends on:
 - approximate gap size per period length $g/\lambda_{\rm u}$
 - material
 - $= B(\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}}) = a \exp\left(b\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}} + c\left[\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}}\right]^{2}\right)$
- Pure Permanent Magnet (PPM)
- Hybrid Magnet (iron poles + PM)
- superconducting undulators not considered here





FEL

Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations IENESIS1.3 code Reamline Lattice S2E Simulations Dutlook

Summary

7

J. B. Murphy, AIP Conference Proceedings 249, 1939 (1992) P. Elleaume et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 455, 2000

• radiation wavelength:
$$\lambda_{\ell} = \frac{\lambda_{u}}{2\gamma^{2}} (1 + \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2})$$

 $\blacksquare K \propto \lambda_{u} B$

- maximum magnetic field depends on:
 - size per period length g/λ_u
 - material
 - $= B\left(\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}}\right) = a \exp\left(b\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}} + c\left[\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}}\right]^{2}\right)$
- Pure Permanent Magnet (PPM)
- Hybrid Magnet (iron poles + PM)
- superconducting undulators not considered here







FEL

Fabian Pannek

Analytical Study

Technical Contraints

• radiation wavelength: $\lambda_{\ell} = \frac{\lambda_{u}}{2\gamma^{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2} \right)$

• $K \propto \lambda_{\rm u} B$

- maximum magnetic field depends on:
 - size per period length g/λ_u
 - material
 - $= B\left(\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}}\right) = a \exp\left(b\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}} + c\left[\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}}\right]^{2}\right)$
- Pure Permanent Magnet (PPM)
- Hybrid Magnet (iron poles + PM)
- superconducting undulators not considered here





Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations

Summary

J. B. Murphy, AIP Conference Proceedings 249, 1939 (1992) P. Elleaume et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 455, 2000 J. Pflueger, Proceedings of the CAS–CERN Accelerator School, 2016

• radiation wavelength: $\lambda_{\ell} = \frac{\lambda_{u}}{2\gamma^{2}} (1 + \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2})$

• $K \propto \lambda_{\rm u} B$

- maximum magnetic field depends on:
 - size per period length g/λ_u
 - material
 - $= B\left(\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}}\right) = a \exp\left(b\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}} + c\left[\frac{g}{\lambda_{u}}\right]^{2}\right)$
- Pure Permanent Magnet (PPM)
- Hybrid Magnet (iron poles + PM)
- superconducting undulators not considered here





J. B. Murphy, AIP Conference Proceedings 249, 1939 (1992) P. Elleaume et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 455, 2000 J. Pflueger, Proceedings of the CAS-CERN Accelerator School, 2016

7



Fabian Pannek



Motivation

Analytical Study

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



FEL

Fabian Pannek



consider maximum feasabile B-field
 cyan: Nd-Fe-B hybrid Fe, 8 mm gap

wouvation

Analytical Study

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook





- consider maximum feasabile B-field
 cyan: Nd-Fe-B hybrid Fe, 8 mm gap
- K < 1: less electron-field coupling</p>
 - larger gain length



lotivation

FEL

Fabian Pannek

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



- consider maximum feasabile B-field cyan: Nd-Fe-B hybrid Fe, 8 mm gap
- K < 1: less electron-field coupling
 - larger gain length н.
 - less power



FEL



- consider maximum feasabile B-field cyan: Nd-Fe-B hybrid Fe, 8 mm gap
- K < 1: less electron-field coupling
 - larger gain length н.
 - less power
- $6 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \text{high power in soft X-ray regime}$



Wavelength Range: Soft X-ray and EUV

- Undulator period length λ_{μ}
 - Iimits smallest possbile λ_{ℓ}
 - small λ_{μ} benefits small gain length н.
 - technical limit for B-field if λ_{μ} too small н.
 - affects saturation power
 - compromise $L_q \leftrightarrow P_{sat}$



FEL

- Undulator period length λ_u
 - Iimits smallest possbile λ_{ℓ}
 - small \(\lambda_u\) benefits small gain length
 - technical limit for B-field if λ_u too small
 - affects saturation power
 - compromise $L_g \leftrightarrow P_{sat}$



European XFEL

FEL Fabian Pannek

otivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism

Ming Xie Formalisi Technical Contrain Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

- Undulator period length λ_u
 - Iimits smallest possbile λ_{ℓ}
 - small \(\lambda_u\) benefits small gain length
 - technical limit for B-field if λ_u too small
 - affects saturation power
 - compromise $L_g \leftrightarrow P_{sat}$



_

European

eurizon

FEL

Fabian Pannek

lotivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sanellivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations

- Undulator period length λ_u
 - Iimits smallest possbile λ_{ℓ}
 - small \u03c6_u benefits small gain length
 - technical limit for B-field if \u03c6_u too small
 - affects saturation power
 - compromise $L_g \longleftrightarrow P_{sat}$

- λ_u for wavelengths from 1 nm to 25 nm
- $\blacksquare \lambda_u = 13 \, \mathrm{cm}$
 - maximum P_{sat} at shortest wavelengths
 - within 10% of max P_{sat} at longer wavelengths



FEL

Fabian Pannek

lotivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

- Undulator period length λ_u
 - Iimits smallest possbile λ_{ℓ}
 - small \u03c6_u benefits small gain length
 - technical limit for B-field if \u03c6_u too small
 - affects saturation power
 - compromise $L_g \longleftrightarrow P_{sat}$

- λ_u for wavelengths from 1 nm to 25 nm
- $\blacksquare \lambda_u = 13 \, \mathrm{cm}$
 - maximum P_{sat} at shortest wavelengths
 - within 10% of max P_{sat} at longer wavelengths



FEL

Fabian Pannek

lotivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations SENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations

- Undulator period length λ_u
 - Iimits smallest possbile λ_{ℓ}
 - small \u03c6_u benefits small gain length
 - technical limit for B-field if \u03c6_u too small
 - affects saturation power
 - compromise $L_g \longleftrightarrow P_{sat}$

- λ_u for wavelengths from 1 nm to 25 nm
- $\blacksquare \lambda_u = 13 \, \mathrm{cm}$
 - maximum P_{sat} at shortest wavelengths
 - within 10% of max P_{sat} at longer wavelengths



FEL

Fabian Pannek

lotivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations SENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations



- Undulator period length λ_u
 - Iimits smallest possbile λ_{ℓ}
 - small \(\lambda_u\) benefits small gain length
 - technical limit for B-field if λ_u too small
 - affects saturation power
 - compromise $L_g \leftrightarrow P_{sat}$

- λ_u for wavelengths from 1 nm to 25 nm
- $\blacksquare \lambda_u = 13 \, \mathrm{cm}$
 - maximum P_{sat} at shortest wavelengths
 - within 10% of max P_{sat} at longer wavelengths
 - $P_{\rm sat} \sim 70\,{\rm GW}$ to 110 GW
 - $L_{\rm g} \sim 3.1 \,{\rm m}$ to $1.4 \,{\rm m}$



FEL Fabian Pannek

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Wavelength Range Undulator Period Samelibity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations

Sensitivity to Current and Emittance: 1 nm

- scaled energy spread with current:
 - 2 MeV at 5 kA, ±0.4 MeV/kA
- $\blacksquare \ \sigma_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{2}} = \beta_{\mathrm{avg}} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}} / \gamma$
 - kept $\sigma_{x,y}$ constant by adjusting β_{avg}



Sensitivity to Current and Emittance: 1 nm

- scaled energy spread with current:
 - 2 MeV at 5 kA, ±0.4 MeV/kA
- - kept $\sigma_{x,y}$ constant by adjusting β_{avg}

- scales with current as $L_{\rm g} \propto I_{\rm e}^{-1/3}$
- emittance \rightarrow angular spread
 - spread in resonance wavelength



European

FEL

Fabian Pannek

Analytical Study

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalisn Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations

Sensitivity to Current and Emittance: 25 nm

- scaled energy spread with current:
 - 2 MeV at 5 kA, ±0.4 MeV/kA
- $\bullet \ \sigma_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{2}} = \beta_{\mathrm{avg}}\varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}/\gamma$
 - kept $\sigma_{x,y}$ constant by adjusting β_{avg}

- scales with current as $L_{\rm g} \propto I_{\rm e}^{-1/3}$
- \blacksquare emittance \rightarrow angular spread
 - spread in resonance wavelength
 - less severe at larger wavelengths



FEL
European KFEL

Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraints Navelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

- GENESIS1.3, v4 by Sven Reiche
 - https://github.com/svenreiche/Genesis-1.3-Version4
- time-dependent, 3D
- entire bunch and field is kept in memory
- based on the Slowly Varying Envelope Approximation (SVEA)
 - equations of motion are Undulator-Period Averaged (UPA)
- coordinate system is based on slices
 - electron bunch consists of slices
- photon field
 - calculated with the same longitudinal granularity
 - transversely: rectangular grid

- planar undulators
- undulator period length $\lambda_u = 13 \text{ cm}$
- undulator segments, 0.42 m space inbetween
 - quadrupole to focus electron beam
 - diagnostics
- undulator segment length 2.08 m (16 periods)
 - \sim 1 power gain length
 - undulator tapering



Iotivation

Analytical Study D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraint: Vavelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



Fabian Pannek

resonance condition:

$$\lambda_{\rm r} = rac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} igg(1 + rac{\kappa^2}{2} igg) \quad , \qquad K \propto \lambda_{\rm u} B$$



- electron bunch loses energy along FEL undulator beamline
- compensation of the electron energy loss necessary
- undulator tapering to preserve resonance condition
- undulator strength K should be decreased along the undulator

viotivation

Analytical Study ID approximation Ming Xie Formalism Fechnical Contraints Navelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



Fabian Pannek

Motivation

Analytical Study D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Fechnical Contraints Navelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

Summary

resonance condition:

$$\lambda_{\rm r} = rac{\lambda_u}{2\gamma^2} igg(1 + rac{\kappa^2}{2}igg) ~, \qquad \kappa \propto \lambda_{\rm u} B$$



- electron bunch loses energy along FEL undulator beamline
- compensation of the electron energy loss necessary
- undulator tapering to preserve resonance condition
- undulator strength K should be decreased along the undulator
- optimize K-values of individual radiator segments for maximum power output
 - here for simplicity based on time-independent scan simulations



Fabian Pannek





- microbunching instability suppressed by laser heater
- Iaser heated electron distribution is used in the following

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations



Fabian Pannek



Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations























FEL Performance at 4 nm: Undulator Tapering







FEL Performance at 4 nm: Undulator Tapering







FEL Performance at 4 nm: Undulator Tapering



FEL

























Ideal Simulations

- compared to S2E simulations:
 - Gaussian distribution
 - lower energy: \sim 6.08 vs 6 GeV
 - = higher emittance: \sim 0.2 vs 0.3 mm mrad
 - larger energy spread: ~ 1.2 vs 2 MeV
- slightly higher gain in S2E simulations



eurizon

European network

for developing new horizons for RIs

European

XFEL

FEL

Fabian Pannek

Simulations

GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

Ideal Simulations

- compared to S2E simulations:
 - Gaussian distribution .
 - lower energy: $\sim 6.08 \, \text{vs} \, 6 \, \text{GeV}$.
 - higher emittance: ~ 0.2 vs 0.3 mm mrad .
 - larger energy spread: ~ 1.2 vs 2 MeV .
- slightly higher gain in S2E simulations



European

XFEL

eurizon

European network

for developing new horizons for Ris



FEL

Ideal Simulations: Tapering 1 nm, 10 nm, 25 nm



FEL

Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Fechnical Contraints Navelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations

GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

Summary



undulator position (m)

Ideal Simulations: Tapering 1 nm, 10 nm, 25 nm



FEL

Fabian Pannek



Simulations

Outlook



undulator position (m)

Ideal Simulations: Tapering 1 nm, 10 nm, 25 nm



Fabian Pannek



Motivation

Inalytical Study D approximation Aing Xie Formalism fechnical Contraints Navelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code

S2E Simulations

Summary

European KFEL European network for developing new horizons for Ris

FEL

Fabian Pannek

Votivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraint: Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

- FEL with \sim 100 m undulator beamline
- \blacksquare wavelength from 1.2 nm to 25 nm \rightarrow photon energy from 50 eV to 1 keV
- SASE pulses with peak power in 70 GW to 100 GW range
- SASE pulses with pulse energy in 2 mJ to 6 mJ range
- s factor \sim 2 improvement is expected with undulator tapering

Backup Slides

European XFEL

FEL

Fabian Pannek

- Analytical: sensitivity to current and emittance
- Ideal Simulations: 1 nm
- Ideal Simulations: 10 nm
- Ideal Simulations: 10 nm
- Ideal Simulations: 25 nm
- Transverse Coherence



Analytical Study D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Fechnical Contraints Navelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook

Sensitivity to Current and Emittance: 1 nm

- scaled energy spread with current:
 - 2 MeV at 5 kA, ±0.4 MeV/kA
- $\blacksquare \ \sigma_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}^{\mathbf{2}} = \beta_{\mathrm{avg}} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}} / \gamma$
 - kept $\sigma_{x,y}$ constant by adjusting β_{avg}
 - β_{avg} ranges from 12 m to 60 m



Sensitivity to Current and Emittance: 1 nm

- scaled energy spread with current:
 - 2 MeV at 5 kA, ±0.4 MeV/kA
- $\bullet \ \sigma_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{2}} = \beta_{\mathrm{avg}}\varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}/\gamma$
 - kept β_{avg} constant by adjusting $\sigma_{x,y}$
 - = $\sigma_{x,y}$ ranges from 13 µm to 29 µm



Sensitivity to Current and Emittance: 25 nm

- scaled energy spread with current:
 - 2 MeV at 5 kA, ±0.4 MeV/kA
- $\blacksquare \ \sigma_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}^{\mathbf{2}} = \beta_{\mathrm{avg}} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}} / \gamma$
 - kept $\sigma_{x,y}$ constant by adjusting β_{avg}
 - β_{avg} ranges from 12 m to 60 m



Sensitivity to Current and Emittance: 25 nm

- scaled energy spread with current:
 - 2 MeV at 5 kA, ±0.4 MeV/kA
- $\ \ \, \ \, \ \, \ \, \ \, \sigma_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{2}}=\beta_{\mathrm{avg}}\varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}/\gamma$
 - kept β_{avg} constant by adjusting $\sigma_{x,y}$
 - = $\sigma_{x,y}$ ranges from 13 µm to 29 µm



Ideal Simulations: Electron Beam Parameters

- GENESIS1.3, v4 simulations based on ideal Gaussian electron distributions
- Parameters used for ideal simulations:

Parameter	Symbol	Value
energy	Е	6 GeV
rms energy spread	σ_E	2 MeV
peak current	l _e	5 kA
emittance (normalized)	εn	0.3 mm mrad
average beta function	eta_{avg}	20 m
rms beam size	$\sigma_{X,Y}$	23 µm
rms bunch length	σ_{e}	6 µm



FEL Fabian Pannek

lotivation

Analytical Study D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Fechnical Contraints Navelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook





Notivation

Analytical Study ID approximation Ming Xie Formalish Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations BENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Dutlook





*I*otivation

Analytical Study D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Fechnical Contraints Navelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalisn Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook





Fabian Pannek



Notivation

Analytical Stud 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalish Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



Fabian Pannek



n al dia al Otra

1D approximation Ming Xie Formalisr Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook




Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Containts Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

> Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook





*I*otivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



FEL Fabian Pannek



Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalish Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook





Fabian Pannek



Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalisn Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook





FEL Fabian Pannek



viotivation

Analytical Stud 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalisr Technical Contrain Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



Summary

48







Iotivation

Analytical Study D approximation Ming Xie Formalish Fechnical Contraint Navelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



FEL Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalish Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook





Fabian Pannek



Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalisn Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook





Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalish Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook







Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraint: Wavelength Range

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook





Notivation

Analytical Study D approximation Ming Xie Formalism Technical Contraint Vavelength Range Jndulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



Fabian Pannek



*I*otivation

Analytical Stud 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalisr Technical Contrain Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalish Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook





Fabian Pannek



Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalisn Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



Ideal Simulations: 25 nm - Different Tapering



FEL Fabian Pannek

Notivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalish Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



Ideal Simulations: 25 nm - Different Tapering



FEL Fabian Pannek

Motivation

Analytical Study 1D approximation Ming Xie Formalish Technical Contraint Wavelength Range Undulator Period Sensitivity Study

Simulations GENESIS1.3 code Beamline Lattice S2E Simulations Outlook



Transverse Coherence

- maximum coherence before saturation
 - end of linear regime
- depends on $2\pi\varepsilon/\lambda_{\ell}$ н.
- untapered, ideal simulations with 0.6 mm mrad н.
 - 1nm: $2\pi\varepsilon/\lambda_{\ell} = 0.32$
 - 4nm: $2\pi\varepsilon/\lambda_{\ell} = 0.08$ н.
 - 10nm: $2\pi \varepsilon / \lambda_{\ell} = 0.032$.
 - 25nm: $2\pi \varepsilon / \lambda_{\ell} = 0.013$.





FEL Fabian Pannek